

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office. Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/032,539	01/02/2002	Toshiharu Yamashita	Q67942	5593		
75	90 12/19/2002					
SUGHRUE M		EXAMINER				
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington,, DC 20037			BOLDEN, ELIZABETH A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1755	7		
		DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						MK-			
			Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
1,	,		10/032,53	9	YAMASHITA ET AL.				
•	Offic	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Elizabeth		1755				
Period for		ING DATE of this communication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rej	AILING D ions of time rr iX (6) MONTH eriod for reply eriod for reply to reply within ply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 at from the mailing date of this communication. To specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no even within the statuill apply and will cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ja</u>	anuary 200	<u>)2</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio			=x parte Qi	dayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.									
7) 🗌 (7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	—	-9 are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requ	irement.					
Applicatio	-								
	•	cation is objected to by the Examiner		abiastad ta by the Even	ninor				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority un	der 35 U.	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
1	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.									
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s		on Cited (DTO 902)	•	4) [] Into-vi 0	/DTO 442) Dansa No.	,			
2) Notice	of Draftsper	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> .			(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO				

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to polarizing glass composition, classified in class 501, subclass 67.
- II. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to method of making a glass, classified in class 65, subclass 385.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the glass composition can be made by a materially different process such as making the glass by extrusion.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Peter Olexy on 22 November 2002 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-7.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 8 and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tajima et al., U.S. Patent 5,840,096 in view of Yamashita et al., U.S. Patent 3,998,647.

Tajima et al. teach a process for producing a polarizing glass containing oriented shapeanisotropic metallic particles. See Abstract of Tajima et al. Tajima et al. further teach that the
metallic particles are formed from the reduction of metallic halides. See Abstract of Tajima et al.
Tajima et al. teach the matrix glass for the polarizing process includes silicate glasses,
borosilicates, borate glasses and the like. See column 4, lines 28-30. The reference further
teaches that the metallic halides are from the following group: silver chloride, silver bromide,
silver iodide, copper chloride, copper bromide, copper iodide, as well as gold and platinum
halides. See column 4, lines 31-41.

Tajima et al. does not teach specific compositional ranges for the polarizing glass.

Art Unit: 1755

Yamashita et al teach a light sensitive glass comprising in weight percent $48-62 \, \text{SiO}_2$, $15-22 \, \text{B}_2\text{O}_3$, $0-7 \, \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, $0-10 \, \text{ZrO}_2$, $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{ZrO}_2 > 6$ to $<12 \, \%$, $6-16 \, \text{R}_2\text{O}$ where R is Li, Na, and/or K, $0.5-7 \, \text{BaO}$, $0-2 \, \text{TiO}_2$, $0.002-0.03 \, \text{CuO}$, and $0.15-1 \, \text{Ag}$ and more then the Ag equivalent halogens: Cl, Br, and I. See Abstract of Yamashita et al. and specific examples 9-12 and 14-29.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed the glass of Yamashita et al. in the process of Tajima et al. because Tajima suggests employing borosilicate glasses, and Yamashita teaches a borosilicate glass composition.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borrelli et al., U.S. Patent 4,304,584 in view of Prassas, U.S. Patent 4,891,336.

Borrelli et al. teach a method for producing a polarizing glass wherein the glass matrix is elongated to elongate and align silver halide particles in the direction of the stress and then the elongated glass undergoes a heat treatment in a reducing environment to reduce the silver halide particles thus resulting in elongated and orientated metallic silver particles. See Abstract of Borrelli et al. The reference teaches that polarization of the photochromic glasses containing silver halide particles is due to the anisotropy of the elongated particles. See column 2, lines 5-11. The reference teaches that the method is effective regardless of the base glass composition of the photochromic as long as AgCl, AgBr, and/or AgI are present. See column 3, lines 36-41. The reference teaches in one embodiment that the base glass to should comprise in weight percent 4-26Al₂O₃, 4-26 B₂O₃, 4-76 SiO₂, and at least one alkali metal oxide from the group of 2-8 Li₂O, 4-15 Na₂O, 6-20 K₂O, 8-25 Rb₂O, 10-30 Cs₂O and silver and a minimum effective

Art Unit: 1755

proportion of at least one halogen. See column 3, line 53 to column 4, line 3. The reference discloses an alternative general base glass which contains in weight percent 5-12 alkali metal oxide, 20-35 B₂O₃, 1-15 Al₂O₃, and SiO₂, and the silver content is not greater than 2%. See column 5, lines 39-47.

Borrelli et al. does not teach specific examples of the composition matching the instant claims. Borrelli et al. does not specifically teach the benefits of the addition of ZrO₂.

Prassas teaches a high index photochromic glass where the base glass comprises in weight percent 33-50 SiO₂, 15-25 B₂O₃, 2-9 Al₂O₃, 1-5 ZrO₂, 1.5-6 Li₂O, 0.3-2.5 Na₂O, 2-9 K₂O, 0-5 MgO, 0-5 CaO, 0-10 SrO, 0-10 BaO, 0-5 ZnO, 2-8 TiO₂, La₂O₃, and 8-16 Nb₂O₅. See Column 2, lines 22-39. The reference teaches that ZrO₂ improves the chemical durability of the glass. See column 3, lines 60-65.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a polarizing glass of Borrelli et al. as suggested by Prassas because the resultant glass would have the reduced metallic silver geometrically anisotropic particles in an oriented state and would have improved durability because of the ZrO₂.

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borrelli et al., U.S. Patent 4,304,584 in view of Suzuki et al., U.S. Patent 4,794,435.

Borrelli et al. teach a method for producing a polarizing glass wherein the glass matrix is elongated to elongate and align silver halide particles in the direction of the stress and then the elongated glass undergoes a heat treatment in a reducing environment to reduce the silver halide particles thus resulting in elongated and orientated metallic silver particles. See Abstract of

Art Unit: 1755

Borrelli et al. The reference teaches that polarization of the photochromic glasses containing silver halide particles is due to the anisotropy of the elongated particles. See column 2, lines 5-11. The reference teaches that the method is effective regardless of the base glass composition of the photochromic as long as AgCl, AgBr, and/or AgI are present. See column 3, lines 36-41. The reference teaches in one embodiment that the base glass should comprise in weight percent 4-26Al₂O₃, 4-26 B₂O₃, 4-76 SiO₂, and at least one alkali metal oxide from the group of 2-8 Li₂O, 4-15 Na₂O, 6-20 K₂O, 8-25 Rb₂O, 10-30 Cs₂O and silver and a minimum effective proportion of at least one halogen. See column 3, line 53 to column 4, line 3. The reference discloses an alternative general base glass which contains in weight percent 5-12 alkali metal oxide, 20-35 B₂O₃, 1-15 Al₂O₃, and SiO₂, and the silver content is not greater than 2%. See column 5, lines 39-47.

Borrelli et al. does not teach specific examples of the composition matching the instant claims. Borrelli et al. does not specifically teach the benefits of the inclusion of ZrO_2 and TiO_2 to the base glass.

Suzuki et al. teach a photochromic gradient lens glass where the base glass comprises in weight percent 58.6% SiO₂, 18.6% B₂O₃, 1.5% Al₂O₃, 6.4 ZrO₂, 1.8% Li₂O, 8.1 K₂O, 3.4% BaO, 1.5% TiO₂, 0.006% CuO. See Column 2, lines 53-65.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a polarizing glass of Borrelli et al. as suggested by Suzuki et al. because the resultant base glass with the addition of silver halide particles would be reduced and stretched by the process where the resulting glass has metallic silver geometrically anisotropic particles in an oriented state.

Art Unit: 1755

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Bolden whose telephone number is 703-305-0124. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am to 6:00 pm with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

EAB December 10, 2002 DAVID SAMPLE